REMARKS

An election requirement was made to pending claims 1-17. The claims were placed into the following two groups: Group I (claims 1-12) drawn to a method of making a solid pharmaceutical composition of perindopril or a salt thereof, comprising dry mixing of perindopril or a salt thereof with at least one inorganic carbonate, at least one carrier, and optional other components, and dry processing of the mixture to the desired solid form; and Group II (claims 13-17) drawn to a composition comprising perindopril or salt thereof, microcrystalline cellulose weight and anhydrous lactose.

In response to this restriction/election requirement Applicant hereby elects the claims of Group II (claims 13-17). For the reasons discussed below Applicant makes this election with traverse and respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider.

The Examiner has restricted the claims of the instant invention into two groups on the basis that under PCT Rule 13.1 the two groups do not relate to a single inventive concept and under PCT Rule 13.2 the two groups lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The Examiner identifies the technical feature linking Groups I and II as perindopril and at least one carrier. The Examiner then reasons that Eyjolfsson (WO 03/059388) teaches stable formulations of ACE-inhibitor compounds including perindopril comprising a carrier and excipients and that Bergman *et al.* (GB 2394660) teach formulations of ACE-inhibitor compounds including perindopril comprising excipients which include carriers. From this the Examiner concludes that the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I and II does not constitute a special technical feature as it lacks novelty.

In response, Applicant notes that this case is a 35 U.S.C. § 371 national phase application of PCT/EP2005/003277 (WO 2005/094793). As such, the Application was subject to Examination by the European Patent Office (as the selected International Searching Authority) and an International Search Report (ISR) and International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER) were issued. A complete copy of each of these documents is attached hereto for the Examiner's ease of reference. With reference to the ISR and IPER, Applicant notes that there was no finding by the International Searching Authority of lack of unity and the claims were determined to be both novel and non-obvious over the art cited which includes the art cited by the Examiner in the instant

Appl'n No. 10/599,154 Amendment filed April 28, 2008 Reply to February 26, 2008 Office Action

application.

As noted in the IPER, the present application discloses a pharmaceutical composition of perindopril or a salt thereof, preferably comprising at least one inorganic carbonate, more preferably comprising indapamide and the production thereof by dry mixing. Eyjolfsson (WO 03/059388), which discloses formulations of ACE inhibitors (perindopril) produced with alkali earth metal carbonates to form stable tablets by the method of wet granulation, was determined to be the most relevant reference cited. As noted by the International Searching Authority this reference does not teach or suggest use of a dry technique to obtain pharmaceutical compositions of perindopril.

On this basis Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the finding of lack of unity in the instant case. If it would be helpful to obtain favorable consideration of this case, the Examiner is encouraged to call and discuss this case with the undersigned.

This constitutes a request for any needed extension of time and an authorization to charge all fees therefor to deposit account No. 19-5117, if not otherwise specifically requested. The undersigned hereby authorizes the charge of any fees created by the filing of this document or any deficiency of fees submitted herewith to deposit account No. 19-5117.

Respectfully submitted,

Date April 28, 2008

/Rosemary Kellogg/ Rosemary Kellogg, #39,726 Swanson & Bratschun, L.L.C. 8210 SouthPark Terrace Littleton, Colorado 80120 Telephone: (303) 268-0066

Facsimile: (303) 268-0065

S:\ClientFolders\Uexkull & Stolberg\UEX09\UEX 09 response to restriction 4-28.doc

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2005/003277

		PC1/1	EP2005/00327/	
A. CLASS	ification of subject matter A61K9/20			
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national clas	sification and IPC		
	SEARCHED			
Minimum do	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classifi $A61K$	cation symbols)		
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent th	at such documents are included in the	e lields searched	
Electronic d	data base consulted during the international search (name of data	base and, where practical, search te	rms used)	
EPO-In	ternal, EMBASE, BIOSIS, WPI Data,	PAJ		
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
X	WO 03/059388 A (DELTA HF; EYJOL REYNIR) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-2 the whole document claims 1-11		1-8, 13-15	
Х	GB 2 394 660 A (* NICHE GENERIC * UNICHEM LABORATORIES LIMITED) 5 May 2004 (2004-05-05) the whole document page 18, line 1 - page 23, line 23-62		1-8, 13-15	
P, X	WO 2005/011737 A (CIPLA LIMITED AMAR; MALHOTRA, GEENA; WAIN, CH PAUL) 10 February 2005 (2005-02 the whole document claims 1-45; examples 1,3,7	RISTOPHÉR,	1-8, 13-15	
Furth	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members at	re listed in annex.	
"A" docume consid "E" earlier of filing d "L" docume which citatior "O" docume other r "P" docume	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another in or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family		
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the internati		
1	1 August 2005	05/09/2005		
Name and n	nailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk	Authorized officer		
	Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Felder, C		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/EP2005/003277

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 03059388	Α	24-07-2003	AU EP WO US	2003235579 A1 1513555 A1 03059388 A1 2005118259 A1	16-03-2005 24-07-2003
GB 2394660	Α	05-05-2004	NONE		
WO 2005011737	Α	10-02-2005	GB WO	2404336 A 2005011737 A2	02-02-2005 10-02-2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	Applicant's or agent's file reference P 68446		FOR FURTHER ACTIO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/			
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003277		-	International filing date (day/m 29 03.2005	onth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.03.2004		
Internat			r both national classification and IP()			
Applicat KRKA		ARNA ZDRAVIL, D.D	o., NOVO MESTO et al.				
1. T A	his inte uthority	rnational preliminary ex and is transmitted to the	camination report has been prep he applicant according to Article	ared by this In: 36	ternational Preliminary Examining		
2 T	his REF	PORT consists of a tota	al of 5 sheets, including this cov	er sheet			
	bee	en amended and are the	panied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets e basis for this report and/or she on 607 of the Administrative Ins	ets containing	tion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Author or the PCT).		
TI	hese ar	nnexes consist of a tota	I of sheets.		,		
3. TI	his repo	ort contains indications :	relating to the following items:				
			relating to the following items:				
J	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion	relating to the following items:				
		Basis of the opinion Priority		inventive step	and industrial applicability		
3 11		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of	f opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step	and industriał applicability		
} 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement	f opinion with regard to novelty,	rd to novelty, in			
; 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement	f opinion with regard to novelty, ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega tions supporting such statemen	rd to novelty, in			
I III IV V VI		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement citations and explana Certain documents ci	f opinion with regard to novelty, ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega tions supporting such statemen ited international application	rd to novelty, in			
I II IW V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement citations and explana Certain documents ci	f opinion with regard to novelty, ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega tions supporting such statemen ited	rd to novelty, in			
		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations	f opinion with regard to novelty, ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to note that tions supporting such statemen ited international application on the international application	rd to novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability		
		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement citations and explana Certain documents ci	f opinion with regard to novelty, ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to note that tions supporting such statemen ited international application on the international application	rd to novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability		
	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations	f opinion with regard to novelty, ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to note that tions supporting such statemen ited international application on the international application	rd to novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability		
IIIIIV V VI VI Date of si	ubmission	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations	f opinion with regard to novelty, nation under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not regard to novelty, with regard to supporting such statemen ited international application on the international application Date of	rd to novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability		

1	Basis	of	the	report
---	--------------	----	-----	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

		De	escription, Pages						
		1-	11	as originally filed					
		CI	aims, Numbers						
		1-	17	as originally filed					
`	2	2. Wi lar	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		Th	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
			the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
				plication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
			the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under3).					
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or international preliminary examination w 				eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
			contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.					
			filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.					
			furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written form.					
			furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.					
☐ T ir			The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
			The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
	4.,	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description,	pages:					
			the claims,	Nos.:					
			the drawings,	sheets:					
	5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
			(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this					
	6	Add	itional observations, i	f necessary:					

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO 03/059388 A (DELTA HF; EYJOLFSSON, REYNIR) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-24)

The present application discloses a pharmaceutical composition of perindopril or a salt thereof, preferably comprising at least one inorganic carbonate, more preferably comprising indapamide and the production thereof by dry mixing.

1. Novelty

The present application does meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-17 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Documents D1 disclose (citations see ISR) formulations of ACE inhibitors (e.g. perindopril) produced with (alkali-) earth metal carbonate to form stable tablets by the method of wet granulation. Therefore, present claims 1-17 are novel over the prior art D1.

2. Inventive step

The present application does meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-17 does involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The most relevant document D1 doesn't suggest nor contain any hint to use a dry technique to obtain pharmaceutical composition of perindopril.

Therefore, the present claims 1-17 are inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Industrial applicability 3.

Present claims 1-17 are industrial applicable.